

# Logic and Computation II

## Part 6. Automata on infinite objects

Kazuyuki Tanaka

BIMSA

April 15, 2025



## Logic and Computation II

- **Part 4. Modal logic**
- **Part 5. Modal  $\mu$ -calculus**
- **Part 6. Automata on infinite objects**
- **Part 7. Recursion-theoretic hierarchies**

## Part 6. Schedule (tentative)

- Apr.15, (1) Second-order arithmetic and analytical hierarchy
- Apr.17, (2)  $\omega$ -regular languages
- Apr.22, (3) The decidability of S1S
- Apr.24, (4) Tree automata
- May 6, (5) The decidability of S2S
- May 8, (6) Finite model theory
- May 13, (7) Parity games

## §6.1. Recap: Monadic Second Order

- **First Order Logic FO**: quantifiers  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  range over the elements of a structure.
  - Almost all mathematical theories can be developed as FO theories, e.g., PA, ZFC.
- **Second Order logic SO** = FO +  $\forall R(\vec{x}), \exists R(\vec{x}) + \forall f(\vec{x}), \exists f(\vec{x})$ 
  - The **standard structure** of SO equips its second-order domain with all relations and functions (in the naïve sense).
- **Monadic Second Order logic MSO** = FO +  $\forall X, \exists X$  (or  $\forall P(x), \exists P(x)$ ), where  $X$  ranges over “subsets” of the structure.
  - L. Henkin introduced a **general structure** of MSO, whose second-order part varies similarly to the first-order logic domain.

### Definition 6.1 (Def.5.3, revisited)

A **general structure**  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})$  of MSO consists of FO structure  $\mathcal{A}$  and set  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}(A)$ . The set quantifiers are interpreted in  $\mathcal{B}$  as follows.

$$\mathcal{B} \models \forall X \varphi(X) \Leftrightarrow \text{for any } S \in \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B} \models \varphi(S) \text{ holds,}$$
$$\mathcal{B} \models \exists X \varphi(X) \Leftrightarrow \text{there exists } S \in \mathcal{S} \text{ such that } \mathcal{B} \models \varphi(S).$$

- A general structure can also be viewed as a first-order structure with two domains ( $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$ ). Henkin assumes that a general structure should satisfy certain amounts of comprehension axioms and axiom of choice. The comprehension axiom is an assertion that for a formula  $\varphi(x)$  with no free occurrence of  $X$ , the set  $\{x : \varphi(x)\}$  exists in the second-order domain.

## Theorem 6.2 (Completeness theorem of MSO, Thm 5.4, revisited)

An MSO formula is provable from appropriate comprehension and other axioms in two-sorted first-order system if and only if it is true in any general structure that satisfies those axioms.

This theorem can be proved in the same way as in first-order logic.  
It can also be generalized to higher-order logics.

## §. 6.2. Second-order arithmetic

- The **language**  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}}^2$  of second-order arithmetic is the language of first-order arithmetic  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}}$  plus the membership relation symbol  $\in$ .
- The **formulas** in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}}^2$  are constructed from atomic formulas  $(t_1 = t_2, t_1 < t_2, t \in X)$  by propositional operators, numerical quantifiers  $\forall x, \exists x$  and set quantifiers  $\forall X, \exists X$ .
- A formula can be rewritten in the prenex normal form by shifting quantifiers to the head of formula like in first-order. Moreover, all second-order quantifiers can be placed outside of the scopes of any first-order quantifier. For instance, in a very weak theory, the following transformation is possible.

$$\forall x \exists Y \varphi(x, Y) \Leftrightarrow \forall X \exists Y (\exists! x (x \in X) \rightarrow \forall x (x \in X \rightarrow \varphi(x, Y))).$$

- With the axiom of choice, the following is also possible.

$$\forall x \exists Y \varphi(x, Y) \Leftrightarrow \exists Y' \forall x \varphi(x, Y'_x),$$

where  $Y'$  is a set-valued choice function such that  $Y'_x = Y'(x) = \{y : (x, y) \in Y'\}$ .

# Analytical Hierarchy

We inductively define the **analytical hierarchy** of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}}^2$ -formulas,  $\Sigma_j^i$  and  $\Pi_j^i$  ( $i = 0, 1, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

## Definition 6.3

- The **Bounded** formulas are constructed from atomic formulas ( $t_1 = t_2$ ,  $t_1 < t_2$ ,  $t \in X$ ) by propositional operators and bounded quantifiers  $\forall x < t$ ,  $\exists x < t$ .  
The class of such formulas is written as  $\Pi_0^0$  or  $\Sigma_0^0$ .
- For each  $j \geq 0$ , if  $\varphi \in \Sigma_j^0$ , then  $\forall x_1 \cdots \forall x_k \varphi \in \Pi_{j+1}^0$ ;  
if  $\varphi \in \Pi_j^0$ , then  $\exists x_1 \cdots \exists x_k \varphi \in \Sigma_{j+1}^0$ .  
All formulas in  $\Sigma_j^0$  and  $\Pi_j^0$  are called **arithmetical**.  
The class of arithmetical formulas is also denoted as  $\Pi_0^1$  or  $\Sigma_0^1$ .
- For each  $j \geq 0$ , if  $\varphi \in \Sigma_j^1$ , then  $\forall X_1 \cdots \forall X_k \varphi \in \Pi_{j+1}^1$ ;  
if  $\varphi \in \Pi_j^1$  then  $\exists X_1 \cdots \exists X_k \varphi \in \Sigma_{j+1}^1$ .  
All formulas in  $\Sigma_j^1$  and  $\Pi_j^1$  are called **analytical**.

- $\Sigma_i^0(\Pi_i^0)$  formulas without set variables are nothing but  $\Sigma_i(\Pi_i)$  formulas of first-order arithmetic.
- A formula that is equivalent to a  $\Sigma_j^i$  (or  $\Pi_j^i$ ) formula on a given basic system is often called  $\Sigma_j^i$  (or  $\Pi_j^i$ ).
- Furthermore, if a  $\Sigma_j^i$  formula is equivalent to a  $\Pi_j^i$  formula, each of them is called a  $\Delta_j^i$  formula. Since the equivalence of formulas depends on a base theory  $T$ ,  $\Delta_j^i$  is strictly expressed as  $(\Delta_j^i)^T$ .
- When dealing with arithmetical hierarchies  $\Sigma_i^0 \Pi_i^0$ , a system of second-order arithmetic  $\text{RCA}_0$  is often used as a base theory. When dealing with analytical hierarchies, a stronger system  $\text{ACA}_0$  is often assumed.
- These two systems are also suitable for the foundation of a wide range of mathematical discussions, and thus are important in the foundational program, so-called **Reverse Mathematics**.
- The full Second-order arithmetic  $\text{Z}_2$  is a monadic second-order theory of natural numbers and sets of natural numbers under the condition of full induction and full comprehension.

We define two major subsystems of  $Z_2$ .

## Definition 6.4 (System $\text{RCA}_0$ )

The **system of recursive comprehension axioms**  $\text{RCA}_0$  consists of the following axioms.

- (1) Basic Axioms of Arithmetic: Same as  $\mathcal{Q}_{<}$ .
- (2)  $\Delta_1^0$  comprehension axiom ( $\Delta_1^0$ -CA):

$$\forall x(\varphi(x) \leftrightarrow \psi(x)) \rightarrow \exists X \forall x(x \in X \leftrightarrow \varphi(x)),$$

where  $\varphi(x)$  is  $\Sigma_1^0$ ,  $\psi(x)$  is  $\Pi_1^0$ , and  $X$  is not included as a free variable. This axiom roughly guarantees the existence of the set  $X = \{n : \varphi(n)\}$ .

- (3)  $\Sigma_1^0$  induction: For any  $\Sigma_1^0$  formula  $\varphi(x)$ ,

$$\varphi(0) \wedge \forall x(\varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x+1)) \rightarrow \forall x\varphi(x).$$



- Since the  $\Delta_1^0$  comprehension axiom asserts the existence of recursive sets (=computable sets) in the standard model  $\mathbb{N}$ , it is called the **recursive comprehension axiom**.
- More precisely, since  $\psi(x)$  and  $\varphi(x)$  in the axiom may include set variables (other than  $X$ ) as parameters, this axiom indeed asserts that there exists a set that can be computed in a parameter set as an oracle. But notice that it does not assert the non-existence of a non-recursive set.
- $\text{RCA}_0$  is a conservative extension of first-order arithmetic  $\text{I}\Sigma_1$ . That is, a sentence of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}}$  that is provable in  $\text{RCA}_0$  is already provable in  $\text{I}\Sigma_1$ .

### Definition 6.4 (continued: System $\text{ACA}_0$ )

The **system of arithmetical comprehension axioms**  $\text{ACA}_0$  is obtained from  $\text{RCA}_0$  by replacing the  $\Delta_1^0$  comprehension with the  $\Sigma_1^0$  comprehension<sup>i</sup>.

- $\text{ACA}_0$  is a conservative extension of first-order arithmetic PA.

---

<sup>i</sup>Arithmetical comprehension can be achieved by repeatedly applying the  $\Sigma_1^0$  comprehension axiom to the parameters.

- In  $\text{RCA}_0$ , we encode the **ordered pair** of natural numbers  $(m, n)$  by a number  $\frac{(m+n)(m+n+1)}{2} + m$ .
- The **Cartesian product**  $X \times Y$  is the set of all (codes of) pairs of an element of  $X$  and an element of  $Y$ :

$$n \in X \times Y \leftrightarrow \underbrace{\exists x \leq n \exists y \leq n (x \in X \wedge y \in Y \wedge (x, y) = n)}_{\Sigma_0^0}.$$

So the existence of  $X \times Y$  is guaranteed by  $\text{RCA}_0$ .

- A **function**  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a unique set  $F \subseteq X \times Y$  such that

$$\forall x \forall y_0 \forall y_1 ((x, y_0) \in F \wedge (x, y_1) \in F \rightarrow y_0 = y_1) \text{ and } \forall x \in X \exists y \in Y (x, y) \in F.$$

If  $(x, y) \in F$ , we write  $f(x) = y$ .

- A function is called a **total function** if its domain is  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- In  $\text{RCA}_0$ , we can prove that the total functions are closed under primitive recursion.

In  $\text{RCA}_0$ , we can not only handle functions, but also use the function quantifiers  $\exists f, \forall f$  for a unary function  $f$ . These quantifiers can be regarded as special set quantifiers  $\exists X_f, \forall X_f$ , which presuppose that  $X_f$  denotes a function, namely,  $\forall x \exists! y (x, y) \in X_f$ . Now, we consider the hierarchy of formulas only with function quantifiers.

### Definition 6.5 (Analytical hierarchy $\text{fnc-}\Sigma_n^1, \text{fnc-}\Pi_n^1$ , by function quantifiers)

Arithmetical formulas are  $\text{fnc-}\Sigma_0^1$  and  $\text{fnc-}\Pi_0^1$ . For each  $i \geq 0$ , if a formula  $\varphi$  is  $\text{fnc-}\Pi_i^1$ , then  $\exists f \varphi$  is  $\text{fnc-}\Sigma_{i+1}^1$ . If a formula  $\varphi$  is  $\text{fnc-}\Sigma_i^1$ , then  $\forall f \varphi$  is  $\text{fnc-}\Pi_{i+1}^1$ .

In the following lemma, we show  $\text{fnc-}\Sigma_i^1$  (or  $\text{fnc-}\Pi_i^1$ ) and  $\Sigma_i^1$  (or  $\Pi_i^1$ ) are equivalent. Thus “fnc-” may be omitted.

### Lemma 6.6

For each  $i \geq 1$ , for any  $\Sigma_i^1$  formula (or  $\Pi_i^1$  formula), there exists an equivalent  $\text{fnc-}\Sigma_i^1$  formula (or  $\text{fnc-}\Pi_i^1$  formula). The converse also holds.

## Proof

- First, we show that in a  $\Sigma_i^1$  formula (or a  $\Pi_i^1$  formula), a block of set quantifiers of the same kind can be unified into one. That is, in  $\text{RCA}_0$ , the following holds.

$$\exists X_0 \cdots \exists X_{n-1} \varphi \Leftrightarrow \exists X \varphi',$$

where  $\varphi'$  is obtained from  $\varphi$  by replacing each atomic formula  $t \in X_k$  ( $k < n$ ) in it with  $(t, k) \in X$ . The equivalence should be clear. Similarly for universal quantifiers  $\forall X_k$ .

- Next, we replace each set quantifier  $\exists X$  ( $\forall X$ ) with a function quantifier  $\exists f_X$  ( $\forall f_X$ ), and an atomic formula  $t \in X$  with  $f_X(t) > 0$ . Thus, we obtain an equivalent formula with only functional quantifiers.
- Therefore, a  $\Sigma_i^1$  (or  $\Pi_i^1$ ) formula can be expressed as fnc- $\Sigma_i^1$  (or fnc- $\Pi_i^1$ ).

- Conversely, suppose  $\text{fnc-}\Sigma_i^1$  or  $\text{fnc-}\Pi_i^1$  formula  $\varphi$  are given.
- First, we replace the functional quantifier  $\exists f$  ( $\forall f$ ) of  $\varphi$  with the set quantifier  $\exists X_f$  ( $\forall X_f$ ) and denote the resulting formula as  $\varphi'$ .
- Next, consider how to eliminate  $f$  using  $X_f$  in the arithmetical part  $\theta$  of  $\varphi'$ . For example, an atomic formula  $s = t$  where  $t$  is expressed as  $u(f(v))$  can be rewritten as  $\exists y((v, y) \in X_f \wedge s = u(y))$ . If  $t$  contains multiple occurrences of  $f$ , eliminate them from the inner. Similarly for  $s < t$ .
- Let  $\theta'$  be an arithmetical formula obtained by repeating this process and eliminating all function quantifiers.
- For each  $f$ , let  $\Psi(f)$  be  $\forall x \exists! y (x, y) \in X_f$ , to express the condition “ $X_f$  represents a function”. Finally, we define an arithmetical formula  $\theta''$  as follows.

$$\theta'' \equiv \bigwedge_{f \text{ s.t. } \varphi \text{ contains “}\forall f\text{”}} \Psi(f) \rightarrow (\theta' \wedge \bigwedge_{f \text{ s.t. } \varphi \text{ contains “}\exists f\text{”}} \Psi(f))$$

- By replacing the arithmetical part  $\theta$  of  $\varphi'$  with  $\theta''$ , we obtain a  $\Sigma_i^1$  or  $\Pi_i^1$  formula which is equivalent to  $\varphi$ . □

The above lemma can also be proved in  $\text{RCA}_0$ , but the following normal form theorem requires  $\text{ACA}_0$ .

## Theorem 6.7 (Normal form theorem for analytical formulas)

For each  $i \geq 1$ , for any  $\Sigma_i^1$  formula (or  $\Pi_i^1$  formula), there exists an equivalent  $\text{fnc-}\Sigma_i^1$  formula (or  $\text{fnc-}\Pi_i^1$  formula) whose arithmetical part is  $\Sigma_1^0$  or  $\Pi_1^0$ .

### Proof.

- Any  $\Sigma_i^1$  formula (or  $\Pi_i^1$  formula) must have an equivalent  $\text{fnc-}\Sigma_i^1$  formula (or  $\text{fnc-}\Pi_i^1$  formula) as shown in the above lemma.
- To begin with, we will observe that consecutive quantifiers of the same type can be unified as one of such. First note that if  $x$  encodes a pair  $(x_0, x_1)$ ,  $x_i$  is obtained from  $x$  as a primitive recursive function  $\pi_i(x)$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ). Then  $\exists x_0 \exists x_1 \varphi(x_0, x_1)$  can be rewritten as  $\exists x \varphi(\pi_0(x), \pi_1(x))$ . Also  $\exists f_0 \exists f_1 \varphi(f_0, f_1)$  can be rewritten as  $\exists f \varphi(\pi_0 \circ f, \pi_1 \circ f)$ . Similar for universal quantifiers  $\forall x_0 \forall x_1$  and  $\forall f_0 \forall f_1$ . We remark that the graph of a primitive recursive function can be expressed as a  $\Delta_1^0$  formula in  $\text{RCA}_0$  essentially by the strong representation lemma.

- Let  $\varphi$  be a  $\text{fnc-}\Sigma_i^1$  (or  $\text{fnc-}\Pi_i^1$ ) formula. Suppose that its last function quantifier is  $\exists f$ .
- First, consider the case that the first quantifier of the arithmetical part of  $\varphi$  is  $\exists x$ . Then we change  $\exists x$  by a function quantifier  $\exists f_x$ , and replace  $x$  inside with  $f_x(0)$ . Finally, merge the two function quantifiers  $\exists f \exists f_x$  into one.
- Next, consider the case that the first arithmetical quantifier is  $\forall x$ . If the arithmetical part is  $\Pi_1^0$ , we are done.
- Otherwise, the arithmetical part is of the form  $\forall x \exists y \varphi(x, y)$ .
- If  $\forall x \exists y \varphi(x, y)$  holds, there exists an arithmetical function  $g(x) = y$  that takes the smallest  $y$  that satisfies  $\varphi(x, y)$  for  $x$ . So, it can be rewritten as  $\exists g \forall x \varphi(x, g(x))$  (in  $\text{ACA}_0$ ). Finally, merge the two function quantifiers  $\exists f \exists g$  into one.
- The same is true if the last function quantifier is  $\forall f$ .
- By repeating the above procedure, the arithmetical part becomes  $\Sigma_1^0$  or  $\Pi_1^0$ . □

# Compactness via Set Quantifiers

What happens when we consider the normal form like Theorem 6.7 for the hierarchy  $\Sigma_i^1$ ,  $\Pi_i^1$  based on set quantifiers? In that case, the inner arithmetic part can not be  $\Sigma_1^0$  or  $\Pi_1^0$ . This is clarified by the following lemma, which can be proved in  $\text{ACA}_0$ .

## Lemma 6.8 (Compactness)

For any  $\Pi_1^0$  formula  $\varphi(X)$ , the sentence  $\exists X \varphi(X)$  is again  $\Pi_1^0$ .

**Sketch of proof.** We identify sets  $X$  with infinite binary sequences. A  $\Pi_1^0$  formula  $\varphi(X)$  can be written as  $\forall x \theta(X \upharpoonright x)$ , where  $\theta$  is a  $\Sigma_0^0$  formula. We define a tree

$$T = \{t : \forall s \subseteq t \theta(s)\}.$$

Then,  $\varphi(X)$  is equivalent to “ $X$  is a path through  $T$ ”, i.e.,  $X \in [T]$ .

Thus,  $\exists X \varphi(X)$  is equivalent to “ $[T] \neq \emptyset$ ”, which is in turn equivalent to a  $\Pi_1^0$  statement:

$$\forall n \exists t \in \{0, 1\}^n (t \in T). \quad \square$$

The inner arithmetic part of a  $\Sigma_i^1$  or  $\Pi_i^1$  formula can be expressed using  $\Sigma_2^0$  or  $\Pi_2^0$  formulas. This can be verified by re-examining the above proof.



## Summary

- Second-order arithmetic  $Z_2$  is a monadic second-order theory, or a two-sorted first-order theory dealing with natural numbers and sets of natural numbers under the condition of full comprehension.
- The language  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}}^2$  of second-order arithmetic is the language of first-order arithmetic  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}}$  plus the membership relation symbol  $\in$ .
- The **analytical hierarchy** of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}}^2$ -formulas,  $\Sigma_j^i$  and  $\Pi_j^i$ : For each  $j \geq 0$ , if  $\varphi \in \Sigma_j^1$ , then  $\forall X_1 \cdots \forall X_k \varphi \in \Pi_{j+1}^1$ ; if  $\varphi \in \Pi_j^1$  then  $\exists X_1 \cdots \exists X_k \varphi \in \Sigma_{j+1}^1$ .
- **Analytical hierarchy fnc- $\Sigma_n^1$ , fnc- $\Pi_n^1$ , by function quantifiers**: For each  $i \geq 0$ , if  $\varphi$  is fnc- $\Pi_i^1$ , then  $\exists f \varphi$  is fnc- $\Sigma_{i+1}^1$ . If  $\varphi$  is fnc- $\Sigma_i^1$ , then  $\forall f \varphi$  is fnc- $\Pi_{i+1}^1$ .
- For any  $\Sigma_i^1$  (or  $\Pi_i^1$ ) formula, there exists a fnc- $\Sigma_i^1$  (or fnc- $\Pi_i^1$ ) formula and vice versa.
- **Normal form theorem for analytical formulas**: For each  $i \geq 1$ , for any  $\Sigma_i^1$  (or  $\Pi_i^1$ ) formula, there exists an equivalent fnc- $\Sigma_i^1$  (or fnc- $\Pi_i^1$ ) formula whose arithmetical part is  $\Sigma_1^0$  or  $\Pi_1^0$ .
- **Compactness**: For any  $\Pi_1^0$  formula  $\varphi(X)$ , the formula  $\exists X \varphi(X)$  is  $\Pi_1^0$ . For any  $\Sigma_i^0$  formula  $\varphi(X)$ , the formula  $\exists X \varphi(X)$  is  $\Sigma_i^0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

# Thank you for your attention!