K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0) Logic and Foundation I Part 1. Equational system

Kazuyuki Tanaka

BIMSA

October 5, 2023

 38

 299

重

メロトメ 伊 トメ きょくきょ

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0)

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Recap: Syntax of Algebra

An algebraic language is a list of function symbols $\mathcal{L} = (f_0, f_1, \dots)$, where each ${\tt f}_i$ stands for an m_i -ary function. Then, m_i is called the $\mathop{\sf arity}\nolimits$ of ${\tt f}_i$ and the list $\rho = (m_0, m_1, \dots)$ is called the **similarity type** of \mathcal{L} .

The terms in an algebraic language $\mathcal L$ are defined inductively as follows.

 \bullet Variables x, y, z, \ldots are terms.

2 If t_0, \ldots, t_{n-1} are terms, and f is an n-ary function symbol in L, so is $f(t_0, \ldots, t_{n-1})$. A 0-ary function symbol is also called a **constant**. A constant is a term by itself.

For two terms s, t, the symbol string $s = t$ is called an **equation**. A set of equations is called an (equational) theory.

Example: Group theory G_p is formalized in an algebraic language $\mathcal{L} = (\cdot, -1, e)$. A symbol string such as $x^{-1} \cdot x$ is a term, and $x^{-1} \cdot x = e$ is an equation. G_{p} can be regarded as a set $\{(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z), \, \mathbf{e} \cdot x = x, \, x^{-1} \cdot x = \mathbf{e}\}.$

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0)

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Recap: Deduction System

Definition

The **deduction system** of equational theory T consists of the following axioms and inference rules:

- (1) The equations belonging to T are axioms.
- (2) Equations of the form $t = t$ (refl) are axioms.
- (3) The following four diagrams are inference rules

$$
\frac{s=t}{t=s} \text{ (sym)}, \qquad \frac{s=t}{s=u} \text{ (trans)},
$$

$$
\frac{s(x)=t(x)}{s(u)=t(u)} \text{ (sub)}, \qquad \frac{s_1=t_1 \dots s_n=t_n}{\mathbf{f}(s_1,\dots,s_n)=\mathbf{f}(t_1,\dots,t_n)} \text{ (comp)}.
$$

each of which expresses that the lower formula holds when the upper holds. Here, $s,\,t,\,s_i,\,t_i,\,u (1\leq i\leq n)$ are terms, x is a variable, and ${\tt f}$ is any function symbol in $T.$

KOD KAR KED KED E VOOR

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) completeness

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Definition

A **proof tree** (or **proof**) in equational theory T is defined inductively as follows:

- **1** An equation as an axiom is a proof tree by itself.
- \bullet If P_i is a proof tree for $s_i = t_i \,\,(1 \leq i \leq n)$, and

$$
\frac{s_1 = t_1 \dots s_n = t_n}{s = t}
$$

Recap: Proof Trees

is an inference rule, then

$$
\frac{P_1 \ldots P_n}{s = t}
$$

is a proof tree for $s = t$. If $s = t$ has a proof tree in T, we write

$$
T \vdash s = t,
$$

where \vdash is read as "turnstile".

$$
4/38
$$

 \sim

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0)

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

✒

Recap: Examples of proof trees

We work in equational theory G_p unless otherwise noted. We write $x\psi$ in short for $x \cdot \psi$. \sim Example 1-1: A proof tree P_1 for $(x^{-1}x)x^{-1} = x^{-1}$ Let P_1 be the following proof tree for $(x^{-1}x)x^{-1} = x^{-1}$,

$$
\frac{x^{-1}x = e^{-x^{-1}} = x^{-1}}{(x^{-1}x)x^{-1} = e^{x^{-1}}}
$$
 (comp)
$$
\frac{e^{x}}{e^{x^{-1}} = x^{-1}}
$$
 (sub)
$$
(x^{-1}x)x^{-1} = x^{-1}
$$
 (trans).

✒ ✑

 \sim Example 1-2: A proof tree P_2 for $x^{-1}(xx^{-1}) = (x^{-1}x)x^{-1}$

$$
(xy)z = x(yz)
$$

$$
(x^{-1}x)x^{-1} = x^{-1}(xx^{-1})
$$
 (sub × 3times)

$$
x^{-1}(xx^{-1}) = (x^{-1}x)x^{-1}
$$
 (sym)

5 / 38 ∾

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0)

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

[Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Recap: Algebraic structures

Definition

• An algebraic structure $\mathfrak A$ in a language $\mathcal L = (\mathbf f_0, \mathbf f_1, \dots)$ (or simply $\mathcal L$ -algebra) consists of a non-empty set A and a list of m_i -ary functions ${\tt f}_{i}^{\mathfrak{A}}:A^{m_i}\to A$, that is,

$$
\mathfrak{A}=(A,\mathtt{f}_0^{\mathfrak{A}},\mathtt{f}_1^{\mathfrak{A}},\dots).
$$

- We say that A is the **domain** or **universe** of \mathfrak{A} , denoted by $|\mathfrak{A}|$.
- $\bullet\,$ For a 0-ary function symbol or constant c, ${\rm c}^\mathfrak{A}$ is an element of $A.$
- If an equation α holds in a structure \mathfrak{M} , we write $\mathfrak{M} \models \alpha$. If all the equations in theory T holds, we also write $\mathfrak{M} \models T$, and we say that \mathfrak{M} is a **model** of T. Here \models is read as "double turnstile".
- If an equation α holds for all models of a theory T, α is said to be a **consequence** of T or σ is valid in T, written as $T \models \sigma$

 $6 / 38$

KOD KAR KED KED E VOOR

K. Tanaka

- [Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's
- [Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)
- [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Birkhoff's theorems

• For an equational theory T , the following holds.

Birkhoff's completeness theorem (1935)

```
T \models s = t \Leftrightarrow T \vdash s = t.
```
 $\qquad \qquad \qquad$

- $T \models s = t \Leftarrow T \vdash s = t$ (the soundness of T) is easy. Let \mathfrak{M} be any model of T. Then we can show by induction that all equations appearing in a proof tree for $T \vdash s = t$ holds in \mathfrak{M} . Especially the bottom $s = t$ holds in \mathfrak{M} .
- To show the contrapositive, we first assume $T \not\vdash s = t$, and construct a structure \mathfrak{M} such that $\mathfrak{M} \models T$ and $\mathfrak{M} \not\models s = t$. Such a structure is obtained as the "free algebra" generated by the variables appearing in s, t .

Garrett Birkhoff

Variety theorem A class K of structures is characterized by an equational theory ⇔ K is closed under • subalgebras,

- homomorphisms,
- [Ca](#page-6-0)[r](#page-7-0)[tes](#page-0-0)[ia](#page-8-0)[n](#page-9-0) [p](#page-0-0)[r](#page-8-0)[o](#page-9-0)[du](#page-0-0)[cts.](#page-37-0)

 $\frac{1}{1/38}$

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0) **1** [Free algebras and Birkhoff's completeness theorems](#page-9-0)

2 [Birkhoff's variety theorem](#page-21-0)

³ [Introduction to Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Today's topics

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0)

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0) Logic and Foundations I

- Part 1. Equational theory
- Part 2. First order theory
- Part 3. Model theory
- Part 4. First order arithmetic and incompleteness theorems

✒ ✑

✒ ✑

Part 1. Schedule

- Sep. 21, (1) Formal systems of equation
- Sep. 28, (2) Free algebras and Birkhoff's theorem
- Oct. 12, (3) Boolean algebras
- Oct. 19, (4) Computable functions and general recursive functions

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's completeness theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Preparation

- • Today, we are going to prove Birkhoff's completeness theorem and equational class (variety) theorem.
- Fix an algebraic language \mathcal{L} . Unless otherwise stated, we only consider algebraic structures in this language.

Definition

An algebra B is said to be a subalgebra of an algebra \mathfrak{A} (denote $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A}$) if $|\mathfrak{B}| \subset |\mathfrak{A}|$ and for each function symbol $f \in \mathcal{L}$ and $b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1} \in |\mathfrak{B}|$,

$$
\mathtt{f}^{\mathfrak{A}}(b_0,\ldots,b_{n-1})=\mathtt{f}^{\mathfrak{B}}(b_0,\ldots,b_{n-1}).
$$

Definition

Let $\mathfrak A$ be an algebra and $X \subseteq |\mathfrak A|$. An algebra $\mathfrak B$ is the subalgebra **generated** by X if it is the smallest subalgebra of $\mathfrak A$ containing X.

 $\sqrt{2}Q$

$\sqrt{2}$ Example 7 $\sqrt{2}$

Logic and [Foundation](#page-0-0) K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's completeness theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

[Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathbb{Z}, +, -, 0)$ be a group and $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. The elements of the subalgebra generated by X are the numbers expressed as $n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + \cdots + n_kx_k$ $(x_i \in X, n_i \in \mathbb{Z})$. In particular, the subalgebra generated by $X = \{2\}$ is the group $(E, +, -, 0)$, where E is the set of even numbers.

✒ ✑

Definition

Let K be a class of L-algebras. Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ and $X \subseteq |\mathfrak{A}|$. We say that $\mathfrak A$ is a free K-algebra generated by X if

- \bullet 24 is generated by X
- **2** Every map $\phi: X \to |\mathfrak{B}|$ with $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{K}$ can be uniquely extended to the homomorphism $\hat{\phi}: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}.$

$$
X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} \mathfrak{A}
$$
\n
$$
\phi \searrow \sqrt{\phi}
$$
\n
$$
\beta
$$

11 / 38

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's completeness theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

\sim Example 8 \sim

Let K be a class of groups. The group $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathbb{Z}, +, -, 0)$ is the free K-algebra (the free group) generated by $X \,=\, \{1\}.$ Indeed, for a group $\mathfrak{G} \,=\, (\mathbf{G},*,\,\,\stackrel{\sim}{\cdot},e)$ and a homomorphism ϕ defined by

$$
\phi(1) = g \in \mathbf{G},
$$

then we have a unique homomorphism $\hat{\phi} : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ such that

$$
\hat{\phi}(n) = g^n.
$$

where g^n is obtained by multiplying g n-times with $*$ if $n > 0$, and multiplying g^{\sim} n-times with $*$ if $n < 0$. When $n = 0$, it is defined as $g^n = e$.

✒ ✑

12 / 38

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$, $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's completeness theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Lemma

Let $\mathfrak A$ and $\mathfrak B$ are free K-algebras generated by X and Y, respectively. If X and Y have the same cardinality, then we have $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{B}$.

Proof. Since X and Y have the same cardinality, we have a bijection $\phi: X \to Y$. Since $\mathfrak{A},\, \mathfrak{B}$ are free $\mathcal{L}\text{-algebras},$ maps $\phi,\, \phi^{-1}$ are uniquely extended to homomorphisms,

 $\hat{\phi}: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}, \widehat{\phi^{-1}}: \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{A}.$

Then, $\widehat{\phi^{-1}}\widehat{\phi}$ is a homomorphism from $\mathfrak A$ to $\mathfrak A$, which is an extension of $\mathrm{id}_X = \phi^{-1}\phi$. By the uniqueness of the extension, we have

$$
\widehat{\phi^{-1}}\hat{\phi} = \mathrm{id}_A.
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\widehat{\phi}\widehat{\phi^{-1}} = \mathrm{id}_B.
$$

13 / 38

 $A(D) \rightarrow A(D) \rightarrow A(D) \rightarrow A(D) \rightarrow B$

Thus $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{B}$. (see also Problem 5).

 \sim Problem 7 \sim

Logic and [Foundation](#page-0-0) K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's completeness theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

[Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

(Today's exercise) Let $\mathcal{L} = \{g_1, g_2, h\}$. We define the set of equations E as follows.

 $E = \{h(g_1(x), g_2(x)) = x, g_1(h(x, y)) = x, g_2(h(x, y)) = y\}$

Let K be $Mod(E)$, the class of models of E. Show that all finitely generated free K -algebras are isomorphic.

✒ ✑

Now, we will see a concrete construction of free algebras.

Let X be a set of variables. Let $Term(X)$ be the set of \mathcal{L} -terms whose variables are all contained in X.

We define an $\mathcal L$ -algebra $\mathcal T(X)=(\mathrm{Term}(X),\mathbf{f}_0^{\mathcal T(X)},\mathbf{f}_1^{\mathcal T(X)},\dots)$, called a term algebra, as follows: for each function symbol f in \mathcal{L} ,

$$
\mathbf{f}^{\mathcal{T}(X)}(t_0,\ldots,t_{n-1})=\mathbf{f}(t_0,\ldots,t_{n-1}).
$$

Here, the ri[g](#page-12-0)ht-hand side $f(t_0, \ldots, t_{n-1})$ is a term as a symbo[l st](#page-12-0)[rin](#page-14-0)g[.](#page-13-0)

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's completeness theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Lemma

If a class of L-algebra K contains $T(X)$, then $T(X)$ is a free K-algebra generated by X.

Proof.

- Obviously, $\mathcal{T}(X)$ is an \mathcal{L} -algebra generated by X. So, we only need to check condition 2 of the definition of free algebra.
- Let $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\phi: X \to |\mathfrak{B}|$ be a morphism. Then we define a homomorphism $\hat{\phi} : \mathcal{T}(X) \to \mathfrak{B}$ inductively as follows: $\hat{\phi}(x) = \phi(x)$ for $x \in X$. **a** $\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{f}(t_0, \ldots, t_{n-1})) = \mathbf{f}^{\mathfrak{B}}(\hat{\phi}(t_0), \ldots, \hat{\phi}(t_{n-1})).$
- Then, it is clear that $\hat{\phi}$ is a homomorphism extending ϕ .
- In addition, since any homomorphism always satisfies the above equation, it is easy to see the uniqueness.

K ロ X x 何 X x モ X x モ X → モ → ウ Q Q →

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's completeness theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

[Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Definition

Let $\mathfrak A$ an algebra and $s, t \in \mathrm{Term}(X)$. We say that the equation $s = t$ holds in $\mathfrak A$ if for every homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{T}(X) \to \mathfrak{A}$, we have $\phi(s) = \phi(t)$. Then we denote this by

 $\mathfrak{A} \models \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{t}.$

A homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{T}(X) \to \mathfrak{A}$ can be viewed as an evaluation function of terms. The value of a term s is uniquely obtained from the values $\phi(x)$ for variables x in s.

• Thus, $\mathfrak{A} \models s = t$ means that two terms s, t always have the same value on $\mathfrak A$ whichever elements of $|\mathfrak{A}|$ are assigned to the variables.

KEIN KARA KEIN EE YO QO

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's completeness theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

[Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Definition

Let E be a set of equations on $Term(X)$. If $\mathfrak{A} \models \alpha$ holds for all $\alpha \in E$, we write

 $\mathfrak{A} \models E$.

Moreover, we adopt the following notation:

 $Mod(\mathbf{E}) = \{ \mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{K} : \mathfrak{A} \models E \},\$ $\mathbf{E} \models \alpha \Leftrightarrow$ for all $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{Mod}(E)$, $\mathfrak{A} \models \alpha$.

In the last lecture, we introduce a formal derivation system of equations. If we can formally derive $s = t$ from the equational theory E, we denote this by

 $E \vdash s = t$

17 / 38

イロト イ母 トメミト メミト ニミー りんぴ

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's completeness theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

We are now ready to prove

Theorem (Birkhoff completeness theorem)

$$
E \models \alpha \Leftrightarrow E \vdash \alpha.
$$

Proof.

 \Leftarrow is easy to show as follows.

- Suppose $E \vdash s = t$ and take a proof tree P for this.
- Let \mathfrak{M} be a model of E. Since the equations at the top levels of the proof tree P are either the axiom of E or $t = t$, they naturally hold in \mathfrak{M} .
- If the premises (upper equations) of each inference rule in our derivation system hold in \mathfrak{M} , then clearly the conclusion (lower equation) also holds.

18 / 38

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ 『로 『 YO Q @

- Therefore, by induction, all equations in the proof tree of E hold in \mathfrak{M} .
- In particular, the bottom equation $s = t$ holds in \mathfrak{M} .

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's completeness theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0) \Rightarrow will be shown after proving some lemmas on free algebras and congruence relations.

Lemma

Let E be a set of equations on $\mathrm{Term}(X)$, and let \equiv_E be a relation on $\mathrm{Term}(X)$ defined by $s \equiv_E t \Leftrightarrow E \vdash s = t$. Then, the following hold:

 $(1) \equiv_E$ is a congruence relation.

- (2) For any homom. $\phi : \mathcal{T}(X) \to \mathcal{T}(X)$, $s \equiv_E t \Rightarrow \phi(s) \equiv_E \phi(t)$.
- (3) For any homom. $\phi : \mathcal{T}(X) \to \mathcal{T}(X)/\equiv_E$, there exists a hom. $\psi : \mathcal{T}(X) \to \mathcal{T}(X)$ s.t.

$$
\phi=\pi_{\equiv_E}\circ\psi.
$$

Note. A relation \equiv that satisfies the lemma is called an *invariant congruence relation*. Proof.

- (1) can be proved directly from the definition of derivation system.
- For (2), note that the value of $\phi(s)$ is uniquely determined by the values of x's in X (cf. the proof on P.15). For example, when $\phi(x) = u$ (where $x \in X$), $\phi(s(x)) = s(u)$. Thus, $s(x) = t(x) \Rightarrow s(u) = t(u)$ is nothing but the substitution rule.
- (3) is also obvious. For each $x \in X$, take an element t_x of the equivalence class $\phi(x)$, and define ψ as a unique homomorphism extending $x \mapsto t_{x}$ [.](#page-17-0)

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's completeness theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Lemma

 $\mathcal{T}(X)/\equiv_E$ is the free $\text{Mod}(E)$ -algebra generated by $\pi_{\equiv_E}(X)$.

Note. This lemma also holds for any invariant congruence \equiv . Proof.

Claim 1. $\mathcal{T}(X)|\equiv_E \in \text{Mod}(E)$

- To show the claim, let $s = t$ be any equation in E and $\phi : \mathcal{T}(X) \to \mathcal{T}(X)/\equiv_E$ be any homomorphism. Then, we want to show $\phi(s) = \phi(t)$.
- By the above lemma (3), there exists $\psi : \mathcal{T}(X) \to \mathcal{T}(X)$ such that $\phi = \pi_{\equiv_E} \circ \psi$.
- Since $s \equiv_E t$, again by above (3), $\psi(s) \equiv_E \psi(t)$.
- Then, from the definition of $\pi_{\equiv E}$ and $\phi = \pi_{\equiv E} \circ \psi$, we have $\phi(s) = \phi(t)$.

Also, since $\mathcal{T}(X)$ is generated by $X, \mathcal{T}(X)|\equiv_E$ is also generated by $\pi_{\equiv_E}(X)$. This is because if $\pi_{\equiv_{E}}(X)$ generates a proper subalgebra of $\mathcal{T}(X)/\equiv_{E}$, then taking the inverse image of $\pi =$, X generates a proper subalgebra of $\mathcal{T}(X)$.

20 / 38

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 『할 → 9 Q @

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's completeness theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Proof.(continued)

Claim 2. $\mathcal{T}(X)|\equiv_E$ is a free algebra.

- We take any $\mathfrak{A} \models E$ and $\phi: X/\equiv_E \rightarrow |\mathfrak{A}|$.
- Let $\psi = \phi \circ \pi_{\equiv_E}$. Since $\mathcal{T}(X)$ is free, $\psi : X \to |\mathfrak{A}|$ can be extended to a homomorphism $\hat{\psi}: \mathcal{T}(X) \to \mathfrak{A}$.
- If $s \equiv_E t$ then by $\mathfrak{A} \models E$, we have $\mathfrak{A} \models s = t$, and so $\hat{\psi}(s) = \hat{\psi}(t)$.
- By the corollary to the Homomorphism theorem, there exists $\hat{\phi} : \mathcal{T}(X) / \sqrt{m} \to \mathfrak{A}$ s.t. $\hat{\psi} = \hat{\phi} \circ \pi_{\equiv E}$.
- Clearly, $\hat{\phi}$ is a homomorphism extending ϕ , and the uniqueness of $\hat{\psi}$ implies the uniqueness of $\ddot{\phi}$.

Proof of the completeness theorem: $E \models \alpha \Rightarrow E \vdash \alpha$. Let $E \models s = t$. Since $\mathcal{T}(X) / \equiv_E \in \text{Mod}(E)$, we have $\mathcal{T}(X) / \equiv_E \models s = t$. Then for any homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{T}(X) \to \mathcal{T}(X)/\equiv_F$, we have $\phi(s) = \phi(t)$. In particular, letting $\phi = \pi_{\equiv E}$, we have $s \equiv_E t$. Hence, $E \vdash s = t$.

21 / 38

 QQ

 $\mathcal{A} \square \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \overline{\partial} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \ \overline{\mathbb{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \ \overline{\mathbb{B}} \rightarrow \ \overline{\mathbb{B}}$

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0)

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0) theorem

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Birkhoff's variety theorem

Definition

If a set K of $\mathcal L$ -algebras is said to be an **equational class** (or **variety**) if it is characterized by a set E of equations, that is

 $\mathcal{K} = Mod(E).$

Theorem (Birkhoff's variety theorem)

K is an equational class $\Leftrightarrow K$ is closed under subalgebras, homomorphisms, and Cartesian products.

- Note that "closed under homomorphism" means that for any algebra $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ and homomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ (\mathfrak{B} does not necessarily belong to K), the subalgebra of \mathfrak{B} as the range of ϕ belongs to $\mathcal K$.
- "Closed under Cartesian product" means that the Cartesian product of algebras \mathfrak{A}_i in K belongs again [t](#page-20-0)[o](#page-20-0) K . (General definitions will be given i[n p](#page-20-0)a[rt](#page-22-0) [3](#page-20-0) [o](#page-21-0)[f](#page-22-0) t[hi](#page-21-0)[s](#page-28-0) [c](#page-28-0)o[u](#page-21-0)[r](#page-27-0)s[e\).](#page-0-0)

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0) theorem

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0) Proof. To show \Rightarrow

- It is clear since an equation that holds in some algebraic structure also holds in its subalgebras and homomorphic images.
- \bullet The equality that holds for each $\mathfrak{A}_\mathfrak{i}$ also holds for the Cartesian product $\prod \mathfrak{A}_\mathfrak{i}.$ To show \Leftarrow
	- Let K be closed under subalgebras, homomorphisms, and Cartesian products.
	- \bullet Let X be an infinite set of variables. We define the following set of equations in $Term(X)$ as follows:

$$
E = \{s = t : \text{for any } \mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{A} \models s = t\}.
$$

- Our aim is to show $Mod(E) = K$.
- $Mod(E) \supset \mathcal{K}$ is obvious. Hence, we will prove the following by two steps.

Claim. Mod $(E) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$.

The idea of the poof: For any $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{Mod}(E)$, it suffices to construct a homomorphism from $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathcal{K}$ onto \mathfrak{A} . **KOD KAR KED KED E VOOR**

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) completeness

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0) theorem

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0) Suppose $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{Mod}(E)$. Take a set Y of variables and a surjection $\chi: Y \to |\mathfrak{A}|$. This can be extended to an epimorphism (surjective homom.) $\hat{\chi} : \mathcal{T}(Y) \to \mathfrak{A}$. By suitable replacement of variables, any equation in Y can be regarded as an equation in X. Then it is plausible to consider $\mathcal{T}(Y)/E$. In fact, this will be a desired algebra \mathfrak{C} . Now, we are going to construct $\mathfrak C$ more rigorously so that we can see $\mathfrak C\in\mathcal K$. For any $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{K}$ and any homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{T}(Y) \to \mathfrak{B}$, we define a congruence relation \approx_{ϕ} on $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ such that

$$
s \approx_{\phi} t \Leftrightarrow \phi(s) = \phi(t)
$$

By the homomorphism theorem, we have

$$
\phi(\mathcal{T}(Y)) \simeq \mathcal{T}(Y)/\approx_\phi
$$

Since the left-hand side is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{K}$, by assumption we have $\mathcal{T}(Y)/\approx_{\phi} \in \mathcal{K}$.

We write D for the set of congruence relations on $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ expressed as \approx_{ϕ} for some homomorphism ϕ .

24 / 38

KID KA DIKERKER E 1990

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0) theorem

[Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Since K is closed under Cartesian products, we have

$$
\prod_{\approx\in\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathcal{T}(Y)/\approx\right)\in\mathcal{K}.
$$

With a homom. $\pi_{\approx} : \mathcal{T}(Y) \to \mathcal{T}(Y)/\approx$ for each $\approx \in \mathcal{D}$, we can naturally define a homom.

$$
\psi : \mathcal{T}(Y) \to \prod_{\approx \in \mathcal{D}} (\mathcal{T}(Y)/\approx).
$$

Since $\mathcal{T}(Y)/\approx_\psi$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\prod_{\approx\in\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{T}(Y)/\approx)$, it also belongs to $\mathcal{K}.$ Here, we have: $s \approx_{\psi} t \Leftrightarrow \psi(s) = \psi(t) \Leftrightarrow$ for each $\approx \in \mathcal{D}$ $s \approx t \Leftrightarrow$ for all $\phi \phi(s) = \phi(t) \Leftrightarrow$ for all $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mathfrak{B} \models s = t \Leftrightarrow s = t \in E$ (with suitable replacement of variables). Thus, $\mathcal{T}(Y) / \approx_{\psi}$ is a desired algebra C.

Finally, by the corollary to the homomorphism theorem, we have an epimorphism

 $\hat{\chi}_{\approx_{\psi}}:\mathcal{T}(Y)/\approx_{\psi}\rightarrow\mathfrak{A}.$

Since K is closed under homomorphism on $\mathcal{T}(Y)/\approx_{\psi} \in \mathcal{K}$, we have $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{K}$.

25 / 38

 QQ

 $A \cap B \rightarrow A \cap B \rightarrow A \cap B \rightarrow A \cap B \rightarrow A \cap B$

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0)

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0) theorem

[Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Non-equational class

- • Since the axiom system of the group given in last lecture consists only of equations, the class of all groups is considered to form an equality class.
- However, groups can also be characterized in other ways. For example, we may define a group (G, \bullet) as a pair of set and a binary operation on it, satisfying

 $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ and there exists w such that for any $x(w \cdot x = x$ and $\exists y(y \cdot x = w))$

- The group according to this definition is not an equational class. In fact, $(N, +)$ is a subalgebra of the group $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$, but it is not a group.
- Abelian groups, rings, R-modules, lattices, Boolean algebras can be treated as equational classes with sufficient operators.
- But integral domains (commutative rings with no zero factors other than 0) and fields cannot be axiomatized only with equations, no matter how many operators are added. For example, the Cartesian product 3×3 of the integral domain $3 = (\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdots, 0, 1)$ is not an integral domain (note: $(0, 1) \cdot (1, 0) = (0, 0)$). - K □ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ - 할 → 9 Q @

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0) theorem

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

A simple extension of equational theory

- • Consider a language including relational symbols R_1, R_2, \ldots besides function symbols.
- An expression of the form $s = t$ or $R(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ is called an **atomic formula**. We will give a deduction system that handles atomic formulas.

Definition

Let T be a set of atomic formulas. A deduction system for T consists of the following: (1) Atomic formulas belonging to T and equations of the form $t = t$ are axioms. (2) The following five diagrams are inference rules.

$$
\frac{s=t}{t=s} \qquad \frac{s=t}{s=u} \qquad \frac{s=t}{s=u}
$$
\n
$$
s(x) = t(x) \qquad \frac{s_1 = t_1 \dots s_n = t_n}{\mathbf{f}(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \mathbf{f}(t_1, \dots, t_n)}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{s_1 = t_1 \dots s_n = t_n \quad \mathbf{R}(s_1, \dots, s_n)}{\mathbf{R}(t_1, \dots, t_n)}
$$

where, s, t, s_i, t_i, u s, t, s_i, t_i, u s, t, s_i, t_i, u [a](#page-20-0)re term[s](#page-28-0), x is a variable, \mathtt{f} \mathtt{f} \mathtt{f} is a function s[ym](#page-25-0)[bo](#page-27-0)[l,](#page-25-0) a[n](#page-27-0)[d](#page-20-0) [R](#page-21-0) is a [r](#page-27-0)[el](#page-28-0)at[ion](#page-37-0) 38 symbol.

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0)

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0) theorem

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

- A proof tree for this system is defined in the same way as for an equational theory, and if an atomic formula σ has a proof tree, we write $T \vdash \sigma$.
- The concept of models of T and $T \models \sigma$ can be naturally defined by extending structures to involve interpretations of relational symbols.
- For this extended theory, the same assertions as Birkhoff's completeness theorem and variety theorem hold.

28 / 38

 Ω

メロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 トッ 君

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Introduction to Boolean Algebras

- • In the mid-19th century, British mathematician G. Boole attempted to clarify Aristotle's logic by treating logical relations algebraically.
- This was the beginning of Boolean algebra, but in modern times it is often subsumed under the more general concepts of "order" and "lattice" and treated as equational theory.

Definition

- If a binary relation \leq on a nonempty set X satisfies **reflection** $(x \leq x)$, antisymmetry (if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$, then $x = y$), as well as transitivity (if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$, then $x \leq z$) is satisfied, then (X, \leq) is called a **(partial) order**.
- If an order (X, \leq) additionally satisfies **comparability** $(x \leq y$ or $y \leq x)$, then it is called a total order or linear order.

KID KA DIKERKER E 1990

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0)

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0) $n|m$ on the natural numbers \mathbb{N}^+ excluding 0 represents the relation " n divides m " or "m is a multiple of n ". Then, $(\mathbb{N}^+, |)$ is a partial order.

 $\sqrt{2}$ Example 9 $\sqrt{2}$

✒ ✑

Let (X, \leq) be a partial order. Suppose that for any $a, b \in X$, $\sup\{a, b\}$ and $\inf\{a, b\}$ exist in X, denoted by $a \vee b$ and $a \wedge b$, respectively. Note: $\sup A$ is the minimum upper bound (supremum) of A , that is, the smallest value of b such that $a \le b$ for all $a \in A$. Similarly, inf A is the maximum lower bound (infimum) of A.

 \sim Example 10 \sim

In the partial order $(\mathbb{N}^+, |), \sup\{x, y\}$ is the greatest common divisor (gcd) of x and y , $\inf\{x, y\}$ is the least common multiple (lcm) of x and y.

✒ ✑

30 / 38

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$, $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Definition

Theory of lattice consists of the following eight equations. A model of lattice theory (L, \vee, \wedge) is called a **lattice**.

✒ ✑

L1 : $x \vee x = x$, $x \wedge x = x$ [Idempotence] L2 : $x \vee y = y \vee x$, $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ [Commutativity] L3 : $x \vee (y \vee z) = (x \vee y) \vee z, x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \wedge y) \wedge z$ [Associativity] L4 : $(x \vee y) \wedge x = x$, $(x \wedge y) \vee x = x$ [Absorption]

31 / 38

K ロ X x 何 X x モ X x モ X → モ → ウ Q Q →

Example 11 $(N^+$, gcd, lcm) is a lattice.

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0)

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0) Conversely, for a given lattice (L, \vee, \wedge) , if a relation $x \leq y$ is defined as follows

$$
x \le y \Leftrightarrow x \wedge y = x (\Leftrightarrow x \vee y = y)
$$

then it is a partial order on L. In this case, the lattice operations \vee, \wedge are the same as sup and inf regarding this partial order.

Note. We show $x \wedge y = x \Leftrightarrow x \vee y = y$. \Leftarrow can be derived by substituting $y := x \vee y$ to the left side and using lattice axioms L2 and L4. Similarly for \Rightarrow .

 Ω

 $A(D) \rightarrow A(\overline{D}) \rightarrow A(\overline{D}) \rightarrow A(\overline{D}) \rightarrow \cdots \overline{D}$

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0) Now, Boolean algebra is defined as an equational theory as follows.

Definition

The theory of **Boolean algebra** (BA) is defined in language $\mathcal{L}_B = \{ \vee, \wedge, \neg, 0, 1 \}$ with the following axioms.

1 All the lattice axioms and the following distributive law:

$$
(x \vee y) \wedge z = (x \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z), \quad (x \wedge y) \vee z = (x \vee z) \wedge (y \vee z).
$$

33 / 38

KID KA DIKERKER E 1990

$$
x \vee 0 = x, \quad x \vee (\neg x) = 1, \quad x \wedge 1 = x, \quad x \wedge (\neg x) = 0.
$$

A model of theory BA is called a **Boolean algebra**.

In the definition of Boolean algebra, (1) can be reduced to only L2 and distributive laws. This will be shown in problem 9 after the duality theorem.

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0)

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Lemma (Uniqueness of complement)

If
$$
x \lor y = 1
$$
 and $x \land y = 0$, then $y = \neg x$.

Proof. Assume $x \vee y = \mathbb{1}$ and $x \wedge y = \mathbb{0}$. Apply the distributive law at $=^{(*)}$ to obtain the desired equation as follows.

$$
y = y \lor 0 = y \lor (x \land \neg x) = (*) (y \lor x) \land (y \lor \neg x) = (x \lor y) \land (y \lor \neg x)
$$

= 1 \land (y \lor \neg x) = (x \lor \neg x) \land (y \lor \neg x) = (*) (x \land y) \lor \neg x = 0 \lor \neg x = \neg x.

• **Remark**. In the formal deduction system of equations, "a premise σ implies a conclusion δ " means that if σ holds with any substitution for all variables then δ also holds with any substitution for all variables. In contrast, the lemma should be interpreted as "for all x, y , if $(x \vee y = 1$ and $x \wedge y = 0$, then $y = \neg x$)". To state it strictly, we need first-order logic for the

34 / 38

argument.

Lemma (Elimination of double negation)

 $\neg\neg x = x.$

Proof[.](#page-32-0) Apply the above lemma to $\neg x \lor x = 1$ and $\neg x \land x = 0$.

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0)

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Theorem (Duality theorem)

For an equation φ in $\mathcal{L}_B = \{\vee, \wedge, \neg, 0, 1\}$, let $\tilde{\varphi}$ denote the equation (dual equation) obtained from φ by interchanging \vee with \wedge and 0 with 1. Then

 $BA \vdash \varphi \Leftrightarrow BA \vdash \tilde{\varphi}.$

Proof. The dual formula $\tilde{\sigma}$ for each axiom σ of BA is also an axiom. Therefore, for a proof tree of theorem φ in BA, if we replace all expressions in the tree with dual expressions, we obtain a proof tree of $\tilde{\varphi}$.

 \sim Problem 9 \sim

(Homework) In the definition of Boolean algebra, reduce (1) to only the commutative law and distributive law, and then prove the Idempotent, absorption law, and associative law.

✒ ✑

35 / 38

 $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A}$

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Theorem (De Morgan's law)

In BA,
$$
\neg(x \lor y) = \neg x \land \neg y, \neg(x \land y) = \neg x \lor \neg y
$$
 holds.

Proof They can be deduced from the following equations together with the uniqueness of the complement.

$$
(x \lor y) \lor (\neg x \land \neg y) = [(x \lor y) \lor \neg x] \land [(x \lor y) \lor \neg y]
$$

\n
$$
= [(x \lor \neg x) \lor y] \land [x \lor (y \lor \neg y)]
$$

\n
$$
= (1 \lor y) \land (x \lor 1) = 1 \land 1 = 1.
$$

\n
$$
(x \lor y) \land (\neg x \land \neg y) = [x \land (\neg x \land \neg y)] \lor [y \land (\neg x \land \neg y)]
$$

\n
$$
= [(x \land \neg x) \land \neg y] \lor [\neg x \land (y \land \neg y)]
$$

\n
$$
= (0 \land \neg y) \lor (\neg x \land 0) = 0 \lor 0 = 0.
$$

Therefore, $\neg(x \lor y) = \neg x \land \neg y$. Also, $\neg(x \land y) = \neg x \lor \neg y$ follows from the duality theorem.

36 / 38

K ロ X x 何 X x を X x を X を → D も の Q Q →

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) completeness theorems

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to [Boolean Algebra](#page-28-0)

Let X be any set and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be the power set (all subsets) of X. Now, if $Y^c = X-Y$ for $Y\subseteq X$, then the power set algebra $\mathfrak{P}(X)=(\mathcal{P}(X),\cup,\cap,{}^c,\varnothing,X)$ is a Boolean algebra. In particular, when X is a singleton $\{a\}$, $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a trivial Boolean algebra, and isomorphic to $2 = (\{0, 1\}, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$.

 \sim Example 12 \sim

✒ ✑

37 / 38

KID KA DIKERKER E 1990

Conversely, any finite Boolean algebra is isomorphic to a power set algebra, and more generally the following theorem holds. (The proof will be given in part 3)

Theorem (Stone's representation theorem)

For any Boolean algebra \mathfrak{B} , there exists a set X, \mathfrak{B} can be embedded in its power set algebra $\mathfrak{B}(X)$. Especially, if \mathfrak{B} is finite, it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{B}(X)$.

K. Tanaka

[Free algebras and](#page-9-0) Birkhoff's

[Birkhoff's variety](#page-21-0)

Introduction to
Boolean Algebra

Thank you for your attention!

