# The 3-site Higgsless Model

Elizabeth H. Simmons Michigan State University

- Review of General Principles
- A Simple 3-Site Model
- Unitarity Delay in the 3-site model
- The 3-site model and Experiment
- Conclusions

Frontiers of High-Energy Physics 2006: Beyond the Standard Model; August 7-11, 2006

Refs: hep-ph/0607124;0607191;0608020

Higgsless Models and Ideal Delocalization: Review of General Principles

# Previously discussed :

Higgsless models are low-energy effective theories of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking including the following elements

- massive 4-d gauge bosons arise in the context of a 5-d gauge theory with appropriate boundary conditions
- WW scattering unitarized through exchange of KK modes (instead of Higgs exchange)
- language of Deconstruction allows a 4-d "Moose" representation of the model

#### **Deconstructed Higgsless Models**



- 5th dimension discretized
- SU(2)<sup>N</sup> × U(1); general f<sub>j</sub> and g<sub>k</sub> encompass
   spatially-dependent couplings, warping
- Localized fermions sit on "branes" [sites 0 and N+1] but these present difficulties

Foadi, et. al. & Chivukula et. al.

# Conflict of S & Unitarity

Heavy resonances must unitarize WW scattering (since there is no Higgs!)  $4^{A_L^n}$   $4^{A_L^n}$   $4^{A_L^n}$   $4^{A_L^n}$   $4^{A_L^n}$   $4^{A_L^n}$ 

This bounds lightest KK mode mass:  $m_{Z_1} < \sqrt{8\pi v}$ ... and yields a value of the S-parameter that is

$$\alpha\,S\geq \frac{4s_Z^2c_Z^2M_Z^2}{8\pi v^2}=\frac{\alpha}{2}$$

too large by a factor of a few!

Independent of warping or gauge couplings chosen...

# **Delocalized Fermions**

**Delocalized Fermions**, .i.e., mixing of "brane" and "bulk" modes

$$\mathcal{L}_f = \vec{J}_L^{\mu} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^N \mathbf{X}_i \vec{A}_{\mu}^i\right) + J_Y^{\mu} A_{\mu}^{N+1}$$

Can Reduce Contribution to S!



Cacciapaglia, Csaki, Grojean, & Terning

Foadi, Gopalkrishna, & Schmidt

# Ideal Fermion Delocalization

- Recall that the light W's wavefunction is orthogonal to wavefunctions of KK modes
- Choose fermion delocalization profile to match W wavefunction profile along the 5th dimension:  $g_i x_i \propto v_i^W$
- No (tree-level) fermion couplings to KK modes!



$$\hat{S} = \hat{T} = W = 0$$
$$Y = M_W^2 (\Sigma_W - \Sigma_Z)$$

RSC, HJH, MK, MT, EHS hep-ph/0504114

#### The 3-site Model: general principles in action

#### Three-site model in biology



# **3-Site Model: basic structure** $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ $g_0, g_2 \ll g_1$ $\psi_{R1}$ $t_{R2}, b_{R2}$ R $g_0$ $f_1$ $g_1$ $f_2$ $g_2$

 $\psi_{{\scriptscriptstyle {\rm I}},{\scriptscriptstyle {\rm I}}}$ 

 $\psi_{L0}$ 

<u>Gauge boson spectrum</u>: photon, Z, Z', W, W' <u>Fermion spectrum</u>: t, T, b, B ( $\psi$  is an SU(2) doublet) and also c, C, s, S, u, U, d, D plus the leptons



#### **3-Site Wavefunctions**

Diagonalize W mass matrix  $x = g_0/g_1 \ll 1$ 

$$\frac{\tilde{g}^2 v^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x^2 & -x \\ -x & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

to obtain

$$x^2 = 2\frac{M_W^2}{M_{W'}^2}$$

$$W^{\mu} = v_{W}^{0} W_{0}^{\mu} + v_{W}^{1} W_{1}^{\mu}$$
  
=  $\left(1 - \frac{x^{2}}{8} - \frac{5x^{4}}{128} + \dots\right) W_{0}^{\mu} + \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{x^{3}}{16} - \frac{9x^{5}}{256} + \dots\right) W_{1}^{\mu}$ 

#### Diagonalize fermion mass matrix

$$M_{u,d} = \sqrt{2}\tilde{\lambda}v \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_L & 0\\ 1 & \varepsilon_{uR,dR} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad m_f \approx \frac{\epsilon_L \epsilon_{fR} M}{\sqrt{1 + \epsilon_{fR}^2}}$$

$$t_L = t_L^0 \,\psi_{L0}^t + t_L^1 \,\psi_{L1}^t \\ = \left( -1 + \frac{\varepsilon_L^2}{2(1 + \varepsilon_{tR}^2)^2} + \frac{(8\varepsilon_{tR}^2 - 3)\varepsilon_L^4}{8(\varepsilon_{tR}^2 + 1)^4} + \dots \right) \psi_{L0}^t + \left( \frac{\varepsilon_L}{1 + \varepsilon_{tR}^2} + \frac{(2\varepsilon_{tR}^2 - 1)\varepsilon_L^3}{2(\varepsilon_{tR}^2 + 1)^3} + \dots \right) \psi_{L1}^t$$

$$t_{R} = t_{R}^{1} \psi_{R1}^{t} + t_{R}^{2} t_{R2}$$

$$= \left( -\frac{\varepsilon_{tR}}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_{tR}^{2}}} + \frac{\varepsilon_{tR} \varepsilon_{L}^{2}}{(1 + \varepsilon_{tR}^{2})^{5/2}} + \dots \right) \psi_{R1}^{t} + \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_{tR}^{2}}} + \frac{\varepsilon_{tR}^{2} \varepsilon_{L}^{2}}{(1 + \varepsilon_{tR}^{2})^{5/2}} + \dots \right) t_{R2}$$

#### **3-Site Ideal Delocalization**

General ideal delocalization condition  $g_i(\psi_i^f)^2 = g_W v_i^w$ 

becomes  $\frac{g_0(\psi_{L0}^f)^2}{g_1(\psi_{L1}^f)^2} = \frac{v_W^0}{v_W^1}$  in 3-site model

From W, fermion eigenvectors, solve for

$$\epsilon_L^2 \to (1 + \epsilon_{fR}^2)^2 \left[ \frac{x^2}{2} + \left( \frac{1}{8} - \frac{\epsilon_{fR}^2}{2} \right) x^4 + \frac{5 \epsilon_{fR}^4 x^6}{8} + \dots \right]$$

For all but top,  $\epsilon_{fR} \ll 1$  and  $\epsilon_L^2 = 2\left(\frac{M_W^2}{M_{W'}^2}\right) + 6\left(\frac{M_W^2}{M_{W'}^2}\right)^2 + \dots$ 

insures W' and Z' are fermiophobic!



Use WW scattering to see W': Birkedal, Matchev, Perelstein hep-ph/0412278

# Unitarity Delay in the 3-site Model



# Elastic W<sub>L</sub>W<sub>L</sub> Scattering

- Longitudinal W's associated with bad highenergy behavior
- Work in a pure SU(2) model
- Equivalence Theorem: W<sub>L</sub> properties same as "eaten" Goldstone Bosons
- Work in flat space





#### **Isosinglet S-Wave Scattering**

$$\mathcal{A}_{I=0}(s, \cos \theta) = 3A(s, t, u) + A(t, s, u) + A(u, t, s) = 2(s-u)D(-t) + 2(s-t)D(-u)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{I=J=0}(s) = \frac{1}{64\pi} \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos\theta \,\mathcal{A}_{I=0}(s,\cos\theta) P_0(\cos\theta)$$



Spin-j: 
$$S_j = \mathcal{I} + 2i\mathcal{T}_j$$
,  $\mathcal{T}_j = \begin{bmatrix} a_j^{\alpha \to \beta} \end{bmatrix}$  Rotation Coefficient  
 $a_j^{\alpha \to \beta} = \frac{1}{32(\sqrt{2}_i)(\sqrt{2}_f)\pi} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4k_ik_f}{s} \end{bmatrix}^{1/2} \int_{-1}^{1} d\cos\theta \mathcal{M}^{\alpha \to \beta}(s, \cos\theta) d_{\Delta\lambda_i \Delta\lambda_f}^{j}(\theta)$   
Identical Particle Factors Feynman Amplitude  
 $S_j^{\dagger}S_j = \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_j = U^{\dagger} e^{i\Delta_j} \sin \Delta_j U$   
Eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{T}_j$  bounded :  $\max [|\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\Delta_j} \sin \Delta_j)|] < \frac{1}{2}$   
These formulae apply to the scattering of pairs of particles of fixed helicity  
see, for example Durand and Lopez, PRD 40, 207 (1989)

MultiChannel Unitarity in 3-site Model

- Consider  $W_L W_L \rightarrow W_L W_L$ ,  $W_{LI} W_{LI}$
- Longitudinal Helicity
- Pairs of KK modes of same KK level
- spin 0
- isospin 0

Tree-level amplitude unitarity violation implies limiting scale of effective theory



# The 3-site Model and Experiment

#### **Triple Gauge Vertices**

Hagiwara, et al. define:

$$\mathcal{L}_{TGV} = -ie \frac{c_Z}{s_Z} \left[ 1 + \Delta \kappa_Z \right] W^+_{\mu} W^-_{\nu} Z^{\mu\nu} - ie \left[ 1 + \Delta \kappa_\gamma \right] W^+_{\mu} W^-_{\nu} A^{\mu\nu} - ie \frac{c_Z}{s_Z} \left[ 1 + \Delta g_1^Z \right] (W^{+\mu\nu} W^-_{\mu} - W^{-\mu\nu} W^+_{\mu}) Z_{\nu} - ie (W^{+\mu\nu} W^-_{\mu} - W^{-\mu\nu} W^+_{\mu}) A_{\nu} ,$$

In 3-site model: 
$$\Delta g_1^Z = \Delta \kappa_Z = \frac{M_W^2}{2c^2 M_{W'}^2}$$
  $\Delta \kappa_\gamma = 0$ 

LEP II measurement:  $\Delta g_1^Z \le 0.028$  @ 95%CL places lower bound on W' mass:

$$M_{W'} \ge 380 \,\mathrm{GeV} \sqrt{\frac{0.028}{\Delta g_1^Z}}$$

and recalling 
$$\varepsilon_L^2 = 2\left(\frac{M_W^2}{M_{W'}^2}\right) + 6\left(\frac{M_W^2}{M_{W'}^2}\right)^2 + \dots$$

this translates into 
$$\epsilon_L \approx 0.30 \left(\frac{380 \,\mathrm{GeV}}{M_{W'}}\right)$$

As mentioned earlier, maintaining unitarity of WW scattering requires  $m_{W'} < \sqrt{8\pi} \, v \approx 1.2 \, {\rm TeV}$ 

We conclude:  $0.095 \le \epsilon_L \le 0.30$ 

$$b \rightarrow s\gamma$$
  
To keep this within bounds requires<sup>\*</sup>  $g_R^{Wtb}/g_L^W < .004$   
which translates into the bound  $\varepsilon_{tR} < 0.67$   
Since  $m_f \approx \frac{\epsilon_L \epsilon_{fR} M}{\sqrt{1 + \epsilon_{fR}^2}}$  and b-quark mass is small,  
we can leverage  $\epsilon_{tR}$  to show  $\epsilon_{bR} < .015$   
hence, b is ideally delocalized like light fermions

\* Larios, Perez, Yuan, hep-ph/9903394

# $\Delta \rho$ at one loop

In  $\epsilon_L \rightarrow 0$  limit, can calculate leading "new" contribution

- SM contribution vanishes since  $m_t, m_b \propto \epsilon_L$
- $\epsilon_L$  is custodially symmetric

From the following W diagrams (and related Z diagrams)



# **3-Site Parameter Space**

#### Conditions setting boundaries:









#### T at one loop $\alpha T_{3-site} = -\frac{3\alpha}{16\pi c^2} \log \frac{M_{W'}^2}{M_{Href}^2}$ one-loop; up to W' mass $-\frac{15\alpha}{64\pi c^2}\log\frac{\Lambda^2}{M_{\rm W}^2}$ one-loop; up to cutoff $+\frac{4\pi\alpha c_0(\Lambda)}{c^2}$ isospin-violating counterterm $\frac{4\pi\alpha c_0}{c^2} f^2 \left( \operatorname{tr}[D_{\mu}\Sigma_{(2)}\frac{\tau_3}{2}\Sigma_{(2)}^{\dagger}] \right)^2$

#### $Z \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ at one loop

Involves heavy fermions whose mass (M) is above the reach of the effective theory. We invoke a benchmark UV completion to estimate the size of effects:



# **Conclusions:**

The 3-site model yields a viable effective theory of electroweak symmetry breaking valid up to 1.5 - 2 TeV

- incorporates / illustrates general principles [Higgsless models, deconstruction, ideal delocalization]
- accommodates flavor [e.g. heavy t quark]
- observables [e.g., S,T] calculable at one loop
- extra gauge bosons can be relatively light [hard to find at LHC/ILC since they are fermiophobic]