

Accelerating Science and Innovation

The Large Hadron Collider Status Results Beyone

R.-D. Heuer, CERN

Tsinghua University, 16 February 2011



"Discovery" of Standard Model

through synergy of

hadron - hadroncolliders(e.g. Tevatron)lepton - hadroncolliders(HERA)lepton - leptoncolliders(e.g. LEP, SLC)

What have we learned the last 50 years or Status of the **Standard Model**

The physical world is composed of Quarks and Leptons (Fermions)

interacting via force carriers (Gauge Bosons)

> Last entries: top-quark 1995 tau-neutrino 2000

ELEMENTARY PARTICLES



L EXPERIMENT



Standard Model: Testing Quantum Fluctuations



LEP(e⁺e⁻ collider):

Indirect determination of the top mass

possible due to

- precision measurements
- known higher order electroweak corrections

$$\propto (\frac{M_t}{M_W})^2, \ln(\frac{M_h}{M_W})$$

Status recent Summer Conferences



How to generate mass & break electroweak symmetry ?



Higgs mechanism : Non-zero field permeating the universe generates mass

W and Z bosons gain mass through degrees of freedom of Higgs field

- Fermions gain mass interacting with the Higgs field
- New particle Higgs boson predicted

Finding the Higgs boson Means Higgs field exists

Means we confirm our theory for the origin of mass



M_H between 114 and ~200 GeV, window 158-175 GeV excluded by Tevatron

But What is Wrong with this Picture?

EXPERIMENT



→ with the Large Hadron Collider at the Terascale now entering the 'Dark World'

Key Questions of Particle Physics



Solutions?



Extensions of the SM gauge group : Little Higgs / GUTs / ...

For all proposed solutions: new particles should appear at the **TeV** scale or below

standard Mode/

Selected NP since 1957 Except P. Higgs

Supersymmetry

New particles at ≈ TeV scale, light Higgs Unification of forces Higgs mass stabilized **No new interactions**

cessful for ever?

Extra Dimensions

New dimensions introducedmGravity≈melwHierarchy problemsolvedNew particles at ≈TeV scale



Enter a New Era in Fundamental Science

Start-up of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), one of the largest and truly global scientific projects ever, is the most exciting turning point in particle physics.

Exploration of a new energy frontier Proton-proton collisions at E_{CM} up to 14 TeV

> LHC ring: 27 km circumference

CMS



Proton-Proton Collisions at the LHC





- 2835 + 2835 proton bunches separated by 7.5 m
- → collisions every 25 ns
 = 40 MHz crossing rate
- 10¹¹ protons per bunch
- at 10^{34/}cm²/s
 ≈ 35 pp interactions per crossing pile-up
- $\rightarrow \approx 10^9$ pp interactions per second !!!
- in each collision
 ≈ 1600 charged particles produced

enormous challenge for the detectors and for data collection/storage/analysis



the largest and most complex detectors



To select and record the signals from the 600 million proton collisions every second, huge detectors have been built to measure the particles traces to an extraordinary precision.



Hector Berlioz, "Les Troyens", opera in five acts Valencia, Palau de les Arts Reina Sofia, 31 October -12 November 2009

ATLAS, 18-12-2009











Cross sections at the LHC



"Well known" processes. Don't need to keep all of them ...

New Physics!! We want to keep!!



The LHC data

- 40 million events (pictures) per second
- Select (on the fly) the ~200 interesting e second to write on tape
- "Reconstruct" data and convert for anal "physics data" [→ the grid...]

(x4 experiments x15 years)Per eventRaw data1.6 MBReconstructed data1.0 MBPhysics data0.1 MB

CD stack with 1 year LHC data! (~ 20 km) Concorde (15 km)

Balloon

(30 km)

Mt. Blanc (4.8 km)

Pe

32

20



Astronomy & Astrophysics Civil Protection Computational Chemistry Comp. Fluid Dynamics Computer Science/Tools Condensed Matter Physics Earth Sciences Finance Fusion High Energy Physics Humanities Life Sciences Material Sciences Social Sciences

~285 sites 48 countries >140,000 CPU cores >20 PetaBytes disk, >38PB tape >13,000 users >12 Million jobs/month 21:13:50 UTC



EGEE-III INFSO-RI-222667

First Collisions at LHC on 23 November 2009 at $E_{CM} = 900 \text{ GeV}$



C

:21 CET

Chronology of a fantastic escalation of events: 2009

20 November: first beams circulating in the LHC 23 November: first collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 900 \text{ GeV}$ 8, 14, 16 December: few hours of collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.36 \text{ TeV}$ (the world record !) 16 December- 26 February: technical stop

2010

27 February : machine operation started again
19 March : first (single) beams ramped up to 3.5 TeV
30 March : first collisions at 3.5+3.5 TeV

immediate data taking by all experiments with high efficiency end July: first results presented at the international High Energy Conference since then, more than tenfold statistics increase

... after more than a year of repairs and improvements

Stored Energy in the LHC



Beam Momentum [GeV/c]







Re-discovered the Standard Model at 7TeV





Highest mass dijet event recorded by ATLAS: M_{ii} = 3.7 TeV









W, Z, top production cross sections

lumi. uncertainty: ± 11%

HH

CMS 2010

 $\sigma \times B(W)$

2.9 pb⁻¹ @ \s = 7 TeV

 $0.953 \pm 0.028_{exp.} \pm 0.048_{theo.}$

[qu]

m 10⊨

CMS 2010, 2.9 pb⁻¹

CDF Run II

.

Measurements of Inclusive W and Z Cross Sections

arXiv:1012.2466 ; J. High Energy Phys. <u>01 (2011) 080</u>

First Measurement of the Top Cross Section at LHC.

 $\sigma(pp \rightarrow t^- t + X) = 194 \pm 72 (stat.)$ $\pm 24 (syst.) \pm 21 (lumi.) pb.$ Consistent with NLO prediction of 158(±24)pb for a top quark mass of $m_t = 172.5 GeV/c^2$

D0 Run I Х ▲ UA2 $0.953 \pm 0.029_{exp} \pm 0.045_{theo}$ b $\sigma \times \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{W}^{\dagger})$ ▼ UA1 $0.954 \pm 0.034_{exp} \pm 0.051_{theo}$ σ×**Β(W**^{*}) pp $0.960 \pm 0.036_{exp} \pm 0.040_{theo}$ $\sigma \times B(Z)$ pp $0.990 \pm 0.038_{exp} \pm 0.004_{theo}$ R_{w/z} 10 $1.002 \pm 0.038_{exp.} \pm 0.028_{theo}$ R., Theory: FEWZ and MSTW08 NNLO PDFs 0.8 12 14 0.5 2 5 7 10 20 06 Ratio (CMS/Theory) Collider Energy [TeV] Events / (20 GeV/c² CMS 6 Data CMS 3.1 pb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV 3.1 pb-1 at Va = 7 TeV tī signal Events with ee/uu/eu Events with ee/uu/eu Z/v*→IT Data MWT Single top VV Data KIN W-Jv ······ Signal+bkgd. MWT b-tag uncertainty Signal+bkgd, KIN Background MWT **Background KIN** 22 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 Number of b-tagged jets Reconstructed top mass [GeV/c²]

arXiv:1010.5994 Phys. Lett. B 695

<u>(2011) 424-443</u>

G. TONELLI, CERN/INFN/UNIPISA 14, 2011 2.9 pb⁻¹ √s=7 TeV

Search for microscopic black holes signatures

Events with large total transverse energy are analyzed for the presence of multiple high-energy jets, leptons, and photons, typical of a signal expected from a microscopic black hole.



Good agreement with the expected standard model backgrounds, dominated by QCD multijet production, is observed for various final-state multiplicities. Limits on the minimum black hole mass are set, in the range **3.5–4.5 TeV**, for a variety of parameters in a model with large extra dimensions. **First direct search of BH at a particle collider. arXiv:1012.3375**; CMS-EXO-10-017; CERN-PH-EP-2010-073. Accepted by PLB.



B-quark production at 7 TeV



Strongest constraints on MSSM Higgs come not from direct searches but from $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$, $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ and $b \rightarrow \tau v$



By measuring $BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu)$ LHCb will probe the entire best-fit region of parameters with 2011 data. Direct searches would require several years running at nominal L to achieve this goal

Prospects for $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$

For the SM prediction LHCb expects 10 signal events in 1 fb⁻¹

Background expected from MC is in good agreement with data





With 2010 data (37pb⁻¹ collected in only two weeks running at ~2×10³² cm⁻ ² s⁻¹) LHCb reaches TEVATRON sensitivity !!!

With $L \sim 1$ fb⁻¹ exclusion of SM Higgs-Maxwell Particle Physics Work shop $\sim 7 \times 10^{-9}$





Characteristics of Central Pb+Pb Collisions at 2.76 TeV

- Energy density from dN_{ch}/dη
 - dN_{ch}/d\eta = 1599 \pm 4 (stat.) \pm 80 (syst.)
 - constrains / rules out models
 - 100 times cold nuclear matter density
 - 3 times the density reached at RHIC ($\epsilon \approx 15 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$)



• Volume and lifetime from HBT interferometry



- Freeze-out volume
 300 fm³
 - 2 times the volume measured at RHIC (AuAu@200 GeV)
- Lifetime until freeze-out 10 fm/c





Summary as of today

- Experiments enthusiastically following the exceptional machine progression
- Standard Model particle zoo completed with the observation of the "european" top quark
- WLCG keeping the pace smoothly
- A steady flow of physics results streaming out
- Ready for more and the first unexpected results are around the corner...!



The Science

We are now ready to tackle

some of the most profound questions in physics:







"Newton's" unfinished business... what is mass?

Nature's favouritism... why is there no more antimatter?

The secrets of the Big Bang... what was matter like within the first second of the Universe's life?

Science's little embarrassment... what is 96% of the Universe made of?

ready to enter the Dark Universe

Dark Matter

Astronomers & astrophysicists over the next two decades using powerful new telescopes will tell us how dark matter has shaped the stars and galaxies we see in the night sky.

Only particle accelerators can produce dark matter in the laboratory and understand exactly what it is.

Composed of a single kind of particle or more rich and varied (as the visible world)?

LHC may be the perfect machine to study dark matter.



Looking for Dark Matter



Missing energy taken away by dark matter particles



Search for SUSY at LHC Start-up

- Due to their high production crosssections, squarks and gluinos can be produced in large numbers even at modest luminosities.
- Potential for discovery of SUSY is sizeable even at LHC start-up.





First SUSY result from LHC

Using very clean signatures for early SUSY signals (α_T , diphoton+MET, multi-leptons etc) we are already exceeding limits on SUSY set by the Tevatron experiments.





In a few months the exclusion range established in the last 20 years expanded by ~factor two.

arXiv:1101.1628 ; CMS-SUS-10-003 ; CERN-PH-EP-2010-084. – 2011 Submitted to Physics Letters B

Prospects for 2011-12: discover squarks and gluinos (if SUSY is a symmetry of nature) above 1TeV.

G. TONELLI, CERN/INFN/UNIPISA 14, 2011

February 49



LHC results should allow, together with dedicated dark matter searches, first discoveries in the dark universe around 73% of the Universe is in some mysterious "dark energy". It is evenly spread, as if it were an intrinsic property of space.

> Challenge: get first hints about the world of dark energy in the laboratory

The Higgs is Different!

All the matter particles are spin-1/2 fermions. All the force carriers are spin-1 bosons.

Higgs particles are spin-0 bosons (scalars). The Higgs is neither matter nor force. The Higgs is just different.

This would be the first fundamental scalar ever discovered.

The Higgs field is thought to fill the entire universe. Could it give some handle of dark energy (scalar field)?

Many modern theories predict other scalar particles like the Higgs. Why, after all, should the Higgs be the only one of its kind?

LHC can search for and study new scalars with precision.

Search for the Higgs-Boson at the LHC proton - (anti)proton cross sections 10^{9} 10^{9} 10^{8} 10^{8} σ_{tot} Production rate 10^{7} 10^{7} of the Higgs-Bosons Tevatron LHC 10° 10° depends on its mass 10^{5} 10^{5} $\sigma_{\rm h}$ 10^{4} 10 as well as its decay possibilities ("Signature (or picture)" 10^{3} $\sigma_{-}(E_{-}^{jet} > \sqrt{s/20})$ as seen in the detector) 10^{2} (qu) $\sigma_{\sf W}$ 10 σ_{z} ь 10° $\sigma_{\rm jet}({\sf E}_{\tau})$ WW 100 GeV) 10^{-1} $|0\rangle$ 27 10^{-2} 10^{-2} 10-1 τī 10^{-3} 10^{-3} σ, BR (H) $\sigma_{iet}(E_{\tau}^{jet} > \sqrt{s/4})$ cē 10^{-4} 10^{-4} $\sigma_{Higgs}(M_{H} = 150 \text{ GeV})$ tt 10^{-5} 10^{-5} qq 10-2 10^{-6} 10^{-6} $\sigma_{Higgs}(M_{H} = 500 \text{ GeV})$ 10^{-7} 10^{-7} 0.110 Z \sqrt{s} (TeV)

103

10-3

7-

102

M_H (GeV/c²)

cm⁻² s⁻¹

 10^{33}

events/sec for L





ATLAS and CMS internal and very preliminary





If the Higgs is not there \rightarrow LHC needs ~2.5 fb⁻¹ for exclusion down to lowest masses

 If the Higgs exists:
 Need ~5 fb⁻¹ for 3σ evidence around m_H ~ 115 GeV, but enough sensitivity at higher masses (above ~ 120 GeV) already with 1-3 fb⁻¹

□ Discovery (5 σ) over full allowed mass region requires \leq 10 fb⁻¹ at 8 TeV

LHC means ATLAS and CMS combined (very preliminary)

Note on 8 TeV vs 7 TeV:

-- same reach with ~20% less luminosity

-- for same luminosity, extend low-mass reach down by ~ 3 GeV



LHC potential performance range



Energy: 3.5 TeV to 4 TeV



To be discussed at the Chamonix workshop in Jan. 2011.

Ø Bunch intensity

Baseline 1.2x10¹¹ protons, higher possible from injectors.

Mumber of bunches

450 to 930 bunches (75 ns spacing): potential factor 2.

Colliding beam sizes

Maintain excellent beams from injectors: **50% smaller** than nominal

Possible to "squeeze" beams further: another **50% gain**!

Peak luminosities in the range of 6 to 16 x 10³² cm⁻²s⁻¹ could be possible.

At least 3 times more than what we have seen in 2010!

Integrated luminosity between <u>1 and 3 fb⁻¹</u> would appear feasible.

S. Redaelli, LHC jamboree, 17-12-2010



- Accelerator Chain performed very well in 2010
- Detectors performed very well in 2010
- Headroom in accelerator performance
- Headroom in analysis performance
- Excellent prospects for Higgs-Boson Discovery or Exclusion in 2011/2012

Exciting Prospects: LHC running in 2011 and 2012 at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV (2011)

LHC results will allow to study the Higgs mechanism in detail and to reveal the character of the Higgs boson

This would be the first investigation of a scalar field

This could be the very first step to understanding Dark Energy





Road beyond Standard Model



Proposed Design changes for TDR

SB2009

Single Tunnel for

RDR



22-Jan-11 FALC - SLAC **Global Design Effort**





Figure 1.2-1: A TESLA nine-cell 1.3 GHz superconducting niobium cavity.

- Achieve high gradient (35MV/m); develop multiple vendors; make cost effective, etc
- Focus is on high gradient; production yields; cryogenic losses; radiation; system performance

22-Jan-11 FALC - SLAC **Global Design Effort**

Cavity Gradient Milestone Achieved



Global Design Effort

The CLIC Layout

Energy range up to 3 TeV



Gradient at CLIC 4*10⁻⁷ BDR and 180 ns pulse length



T18 and TD18 built and tested at SLAC and KEK

- real prototypes with improved design are T24 and TD24
- measurements in plot for TD18 at KEK



4000

101017

Two-beam acceleration with a gradient of 106 MV/m



The TeV Scale (far) beyond 2010



Results from LHC will guide the way

Expect

- period for decision enabling on next steps earliest 2012 (at least) concerning energy frontier
- (similar situation concerning neutrino sector Θ_{13})

We are **NOW** in a new exciting era of accelerator planning-design-construction-running and need

- intensified efforts on R&D and technical design work to enable these decisions
- global collaboration and stability on long time scales (don't forget: first workshop on LHC was 1984)

more coordination and more collaboration required



Council opened the door to greater integration in particle physics when it unanimously adopted the recommendations to examine the role of CERN in the light of increasing globalization in particle physics.

The key points agreed by Council include:

- All states shall be eligible for Membership, irrespective of their geographical location;
- A new Associate Membership status has been introduced to allow non-Member States to establish or intensify their institutional links with CERN;
- Participation of CERN in global projects wherever sited.

<u>Romania</u> is Candidate for Accession to Membership since 2010. Negotiations with <u>Cyprus, Israel, Serbia, Slovenia and Turkey</u> applying for Membership are starting.

Brazil is applying for Associate Membership.

We need to define the most appropriate organizational form for global projects NOW and need to be open and inventive (scientists, funding agencies, politicians. . .)

Mandatory to have accelerator laboratories in all regions as partners in accelerator development / construction / commissiong / exploitation

Planning and execution of HEP projects today need global partnership for *global, regional and national* projects in other words: for the whole program

Use the exciting times ahead to establish such a partnership



Particle Physics can and should play its role as

spearhead in innovations as in the past

now and in future

